

Ecotoxicol. Environ. Contam., v. 16, n. 1, 2021, 1-11 doi: 10.5132/eec.2021.01.01

# **Inhibitory Effects of Ternary Mixtures of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate** and Heavy Metals to Acinetobacter seifertii from Otamiri River Sediment in Southeastern Nigeria

OKECHI. R.N.<sup>1</sup>; CHUKWURA, E.I.<sup>2</sup> & NWEKE, C.O.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, P.M.B. 1526 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, P.M.B. 1526 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

Received October 01, 2020; Accept February 21, 2021

#### Abstract

Toxicities of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and heavy metals, Pb(II), Cd(II), Ni(II), Zn(II) and Co(II), as individuals and ternary mixtures of two heavy metals and SDS to Acinetobacter seifertii isolated as preponderant bacterium from Otamiri river sediment, were assessed, using inhibition of dehydrogenase activity as end point. Among the individual toxicants, the  $EC_{505}$  observed ranged from 0.011 ± 0.000 mM for Cd(II) to 2.810 ± 0.140 mM for SDS. The  $EC_{505}$  of the toxicants were statistically different from one another and the order of increasing toxicities were SDS > Ni(II) > Pb(II) > Zn(II) > Co(II)>Cd(II). The responses of the bacterium were concentration -dependent. Arbitrary (ABCR) and EC<sub>50</sub> equieffect (EECR) fixed ratio mixtures were used to evaluate the combined toxicities of the toxicants. The concentration-response relationships of all mixtures and individual toxicants were sigmoidal and fitted with logistic function. The observed toxicities  $(EC_{soc})$  were compared with toxicities predicted from concentration addition (CA) and independent action (IA) models. In ABCR1 and ABCR3 mixture ratios of SDS+Ni(II)+Cd(II) and SDS+Co(II)+Cd(II) ternary mixtures, both CA- and IA-predicted EC<sub>sos</sub> were not statistically different from each other. Furthermore, in all ternary mixtures, both models underestimated the mixture toxicities to A. seifertii, except in ABCR1 of SDS+Ni(II)+Cd(II) mixture, where both models almost correctly predicted the toxicities. Basically, synergistic interaction of the mixture components observed against A. seifertii, indicates their possible toxicological effects on the bacterial population of the aquatic ecosystems.

Keywords: Ternary mixtures, dehydrogenase activity, heavy metals, SDS, toxicants, synergy.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Bacteria densely colonize freshwater and marine sediments where they constitute the primary agents of biogeochemical cycling of elements and also serve as food source for higher organisms (Nweke et al., 2007a). Heavy metal contamination of aquatic environment has been a serious problem because of their persistence and toxicity to most aquatic biota, including microorganisms (Lee et al., 2005). As noted by Ince et al. (1999), heavy metals have received much interest amongst other chemicals in toxicological studies, due to their exceptionally adverse

effects on aquatic organisms as a result of their natural and anthropogenic discharge into aquatic ecosystems. Synthetic surfactants also have a wide range of applications at homes, industries and agriculture, as well as in remediation processes and are thus key contaminants of numerous aquatic environments (Masakorala et al., 2011). Although some researchers have reported anionic surfactants to be safe, investigations however showed that surfactants could change the adverse effect of heavy metals to fish and other aquatic lives (Karbe, 1975; Bianucci & Legnani, 1974; Swedimark et al., 1978). In aquatic ecosystems, sediments are the sinks for most of the discharged chemicals and play a major role

\*Corresponding author: Reuben N. Okechi; e-mail: reuben.okechi@futo.edu.ng

in ecosystem processes (Burton et al., 2001). Metals attach to organic and inorganic particles that are finally deposited beneath the water bodies. Agitations can redistribute these sediment-associated contaminants in the water phase and impair the activities of suspended microorganisms (Hanson et al., 1993). The microbial communities in sediments process detrital organic matter and also serve as food source for higher organisms. Acinetobacter species has been widely reported in contaminated river and lake sediments by various authors and therefore could be a model bacterium for ecotoxicological studies involving chemical mixtures (Sheng et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2019). Co-contamination of aquatic ecosystems by chemical mixtures could lead to possible interactions among the mixture components, with varied effects on aquatic biota, especially the microbial community. Such interactions may result in mixture effects greater or less than the sum of the effects of the individual chemicals. These situations are referred to as synergism and antagonism respectively. However, when the resultant effect is equal to the sum of the mixture effects of the individual chemicals (no interaction), it is termed additivity (Price et al., 2002). Many researchers have reported such interactive effects of chemical mixtures against aquatic microbiota, using different microbial responses (Xu et al., 2011; Nweke et al., 2016; 2017; Regenmortel et al., 2017; Yoo et al., 2020).

Chemical pollution of Otamiri River is as a result of anthropogenic activities in Owerri and environs (Okoro et al., 2016; Ogah et al., 2018). Recently, Otamiri river water and sediment in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria were reported to contain anionic surfactants, including sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, nickel, mercury, cobalt and zinc (Okechi & Chukwura, 2020). These heavy metals and surfactants have toxicological implications for the resident aquatic organisms. The toxicity of these pollutants to the microbial community of the river sediment has not been investigated. Furthermore, there is a lack of information on the toxicity of mixtures of organic pollutants and heavy metals to the bacterial population of the river and its sediment. This study was therefore aimed at investigating the combined toxicity of heavy metals and SDS to Acinetobacter seifertii isolated from the river sediment.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Reagents

The deionized water used in reconstituting the reagents was sterilized by autoclaving and the stock reagents by membrane filtration. The heavy metal ions:  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  were used as  $CdSO_4.8H_2O$ ,  $Pb(NO_3)_2$ ,  $ZnNO_3.6H_2O$ ,  $CoCl_2$  and  $NiSO_4.6H_2O$ , respectively. These metals, SDS and 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were all of analytical grades.

#### Test bacterium and cultural conditions

The test bacterium, A. seifertii was the most numerous bacterial isolate from Otamiri river sediment (Okechi & Chukwura, 2020). A. seifertii cells were prepared for bioassay by culturing in nutrient broth (Lab M) shaken at 150 rpm in a shaker incubator and incubated at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 16 h. The cells were harvested and washed in sterile deionized water by repeated centrifugation (3000 rpm, 15 min, Newlife Centrifuge, NL80-2). Thereafter, the cells were suspended in sterile deionized water and the optical density adjusted to 0.1 at 540 nm in spectrophotometer (VIS Spectrophotometer 72 1D) (Nweke *et al.*, 2014). This cell suspension was equivalent to  $1.1 \times 10^8$  cells/ml based on McFarland turbidity standards.

# Ternary mixture ratios

The ternary mixtures consisted of SDS and two of the five heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Zn, Co and Ni), combined in fixed ratios. The ternary mixtures were SDS+Pb(II)+Zn(II), SDS+Cd(II)+Zn(II), SDS+Cd(II)+Zn(II), SDS+Co(II)+Pb(II), and SDS+Co(II)+Cd(II). In each ternary combination of SDS and heavy metals, four mixture ratios including one  $EC_{50}$  equieffect concentration ratio (EECR50) and the three arbitrarly chosen mixture ratios (ABCR) were investigated. The relative proportion of SDS and heavy metals in each ternary combination are shown in Table 1. Each combination was prepared by mixing requisite volumes of the stock solutions of each component.

Table 1: Ternary mixtures of SDS and two heavy metals

		Mixture ratios (%)																
	SDS +	Pb(ii) +	-Zn(II)	SDS +	Cd(II) -	+Zn(II)	SDS +	Pb(II)	+Ni(II)	SDS +	Ni(II) -	+Cd(II)	SDS +	Co(II) -	+Pb(II)	SDS +	Co(II) +	-Cd(II)
Mixture	SDS	Pb	Zn	SDS	Cd	Zn	SDS	Pb	Ni	SDS	Ni	Cd	SDS	Co	Pb	SDS	Co	Cd
EECR50	94.87	3.88	1.25	98.5	0.2	1.30	92.44	3.78	3.78	94.21	3.85	1.93	95.22	0.89	3.89	97.1	0.91	0.2
ABCR1	95	4	1	96	1	3	93	3	4	93	5	2	94	3	3	98	1	1
ABCR2	93	5	2	98	1	1	94	3	3	94	4	2	95	3	2	96	2	2
ABCR3	90	2	8	5	2	3	91	4	5	91	6	3	96	2	2	95	3	2

#### Toxicity assay

The cell viability assay with 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2.5-diphenyl-2H-Tetrazolium Bromide (MTT) was done in 2-ml volumes of nutrient broth-MTT medium containing graded concentrations of SDS, Cd(II), Pb(II), Zn(II), Co(II) or Ni(II) (for individual) or the mixtures in separate 15 ml screwcapped culture tubes (pH 7). In each tube, 0.5 ml portion of 0.8% nutrient broth and requisite volumes of sterile deionized water and stock solutions of the respective heavy metals, SDS or the ternary mixtures were added. Then, 0.1 ml each of 0.1% aqueous solutions of MTT and cell suspension were added to obtain final concentrations of 0.002 mM to 1.5 mM (individual heavy metal) and 1 mM to 10 mM (SDS). The final concentration of ternary mixtures varied between 0.02 mM and 2.0 mM. The control tubes contained the medium, MTT and bacterial inoculum but not SDS or heavy metals. The cultures were incubated at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. After incubation, the purple coloured MTT-formazan (MTTF) produced in each tube was extracted in 4 ml of n-butanol. Absorbance of the extract was determined spectrophotometrically at 590 nm (VIS Spectrophotometer 72 1D) (Nweke et al., 2014).

# Estimation of EC<sub>505</sub>

The relative inhibitions of dehydrogenase activity at each concentration of individual toxicant or the ternary mixtures were computed as shown in Eq 1.

$$R = \left[\frac{C_A - T_A}{C_A}\right] \times 100 \tag{1}$$

Where R is the inhibition (%) of dehydrogenase activity,  $C_A$  is the mean absorbance of MTTF extract in the control experiment and  $T_A$  is absorbance of MTTF extract in the test experiment containing different concentrations of SDS and heavy metal or their mixture.

The concentration-inhibition data for the individual toxicants and the mixtures were fitted with a logistic function of 2 parameters (Eq. 2).

$$R = \frac{100}{1 + \left[\frac{x}{EC_{50}}\right]^{b}}$$
(2)

Where x is the concentration of toxicant,  $EC_{50}$  is the concentration of toxicant that inhibited dehydrogenase activity by 50% and b is the slope at  $EC_{50}$ .

# Predicting mixture toxicities

The mixture toxicities were predicted from the toxicity of the individual component based on concentration addition (CA) model, Eq. 3. (Berenbaum, 1985).

$$EC_{x(mix)} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\pi_i}{EC_{xi}}\right]^{-1}$$
(3)

Where ECx(mix) is the total concentration of the mixture that caused x% effect, ECx<sub>i</sub> is the concentration of ith component that gave x effect as an individual, n is the number of components in the mixture,  $\pi i$  is the relative proportion of ith component in the mixture. Using Eq. 3, the concentrationinhibition relationships were determined as described elsewhere (Nweke *et al.*, 2018).

The independent action (IA) model (Eq. 4) assumes that the components of any mixture have different mechanisms of action (Altenburger *et al.*, 2000; Faust *et al.*, 2003):

$$E\left(C_{mix}\right) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - E\left(c_{i}\right)\right] \tag{4}$$

Where  $E(c_{m})$  represents the total effect (scaled from 0 to 1) of the mixture,  $c_i$  is the concentration of the *i*th component and  $E(c_i)$  is the effect of the *i*th component. By applying the logistic model (Eq. 2) for a response scaled to 1 as maximum response, IA model can be simplified as shown in Eq. 5 (Nweke *et al.*, 2018).

$$E(c_{mix}) = \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\pi_{i}x}{EC_{50i}}\right)^{bi}}\right]\right] \times 100$$
 (5)

Where,  $\pi x$  is the concentration of *i*th component in the mixture.  $EC_{50i}$  and  $b_i$  are the  $EC_{50}$  and the corresponding slope for each (*i*th) component. The concentration-inhibition relationships of the mixtures were calculated from Eq.5 encoded in Microsoft Excel 2007. The observed  $EC_{50}$  were compared with the predicted  $EC_{505}$  by Duncan post-hoc test implemented in SPSS statistics 21.

#### Toxic index (TI)

The Toxic Index (TI) of each mixture was calculated as sum of toxic units for all the mixture components (Eq. 6).

$$TI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_i}{EC_{50i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\pi_i EC_{50mix}}{EC_{50i}}$$
(6)

Where  $C_i$  is the concentration of the *i*th component in the mixture at the  $EC_{50}$  of the mixture  $(EC_{50mix})$  and  $EC_{50i}$ is the concentration of the *i*th component that caused 50% reduction in dehydrogenase activity when tested alone, *n* is the number of mixture components and  $\pi_i$  is the relative proportion of *i*th component in the mixture. The mixture is described as additive if TI equals 1. When TI is less than or greater than 1, the mixture is described as synergistic or antagonistic respectively (Boilot & Perrodin, 2008).

#### Model deviation ratios (MDR)

Model deviation ratios were calculated as shown in Eq. 7. MDR greater than 1 indicated synergism, while a value of less than 1 indicated antagonism. MDR of 1 indicated additivity.

$$MDR = \frac{Predicted EC_{50}}{Observed EC_{50}}$$
(7)

#### RESULTS

### Toxicity of individual toxicant to A. seifertii

The observed and predicted  $EC_{50S}$  of metals, SDS and the ternary mixtures on *A. seifertii* are shown in Table 2. SDS with  $EC_{50}$  of 2.810 ± 0.140 mM had the least toxicity while cadmium with  $EC_{50}$  of 0.011 ± 0.000 mM was the most toxic.  $EC_{50S}$  of the individual toxicants were statistically different from one another

(P < 0.05). The decrease in toxicities of the individual toxicants are as follow: Cd(II) > Co(II) > Zn(II) > Pb(II) > Ni(II) > SDS. The responses of the organism to the toxicity of the toxicants were concentration-dependent (Fig. 1). The toxicants increasingly inhibited dehydrogenase activity with increased concentrations, resulting in inhibitions of more than 95% at 0.4 mM for Pb(II), 0.05 mM for Co(II), 0.08 mM for Cd(II), 1 mM for Zn(II) and 10 mM for SDS. The concentration-response pattern for SDS and Ni(II) as well as for Cd(II) and Pb(II) were similar.

# *Toxicity of ternary mixtures of SDS and metals to A. seifertii*

In Table 2, the observed  $EC_{50S}$  in SDS + Pb(II) +Zn(II)

Table 2: Observed and predicted EC<sub>505</sub> of metals, SDS and the ternary mixtures on A. seifertii

	$EC_{_{50}}(mM)$ ‡+						
Toxicants and mixtures	Experimental†	CA-Predicted	IA- Predicted				
Ni(II)	$0.649\pm0.053a$	-	-				
Cd(II)	$0.011\pm0.000b$	-	-				
Pb(II)	$0.222\pm0.005\text{c}$	-	-				
Zn(II)	$0.075\pm0.005d$	-	-				
Co(II)	$0.041\pm0.008e$	-	-				
SDS	$2.810\pm0.140f$	-	-				
SDS + Pb(II) + Zn(II)							
SDS 94.87% + Pb(II) 3.88% + Zn(II) 1.25%(EECR50)	$0.328 \pm 0.018a^*$	$1.473\ \pm 0.068^{**}$	$2.384 \pm 1.018^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 95% + Pb(II) 4% + Zn(II) 1% (ABCR1)	$0.302 \pm 0.016a^*$	$1.535\ \pm 0.069^{**}$	$2.490 \pm 0.006^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 93% + Pb(II) 5% + Zn(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.368 \pm 0.008 b^{\ast}$	$1.217\ \pm 0.058^{**}$	$2.004 \pm 0.197^{\ast \ast \ast}$				
SDS 90% + Pb(II) 2% + Zn(II) 8% (ABCR3)	$0.349\pm0.023b\texttt{*}$	$0.679\ \pm 0.044^{**}$	$0.868 \pm 0.927^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS + Cd(II) + Zn(II)							
SDS 98.50% + Cd(II) 0.20% + Zn(II) 1.30%(EECR50)	$0.713 \pm 0.028a*$	$1.630 \pm 0.082 **$	$2.335 \pm 0.831 {***}$				
SDS 96% + Cd(II) 1% + Zn(II) 3% (ABCR1)	$0.242\pm0.020b\texttt{*}$	$1.274 \pm 0.075 **$	$1.707 \pm 0.007^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 98% + Cd(II) 1% + Zn(II) 1% (ABCR2)	$0.639 \pm 0.023 c^*$	$1.899 \pm 0.097 **$	$2.491 \pm 0.093 ^{\ast \ast \ast}$				
SDS 95% + Cd(II) 2% + Zn(II) 3% (ABCR3)	$0.270 \pm 0.030b*$	$1.210 \pm 0.068 **$	$1.715 \pm 0.005^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS + Pb(II) + Ni(II)							
SDS 92.44% + Pb(II) 3.78% + Ni(II) 3.78%(EECR50)	$0.538 \pm 0.017a \texttt{*}$	$1.793 \pm 0.076 **$	$2.527 \pm 0.467 {***}$				
SDS 93% + Pb(II) 3% + Ni(II) 4% (ABCR1)	$0.443\pm0.018b\texttt{*}$	$1.894 \pm 0.083 **$	$2.532 \pm 0.006^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 94% + Pb(II) 3% + Ni(II) 3% (ABCR2)	$0.270 \pm 0.006b*$	$1.937 \pm 0.083 **$	$2.597 \pm 0.047 ^{\ast \ast \ast}$				
SDS 91% + Pb(II) 4% + Ni(II) 5% (ABCR3)	$0.421 \pm 0.012a^*$	$1.720 \pm 0.074 **$	$2.448 \pm 0.057 \texttt{***}$				
SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II)							
SDS 94.21% +Ni(II) 3.86% + Cd(II) 1.93%(EECR50)	$0.116 \pm 0.004a^*$	$0.477 \pm 0.024 **$	$0.544 \pm 1.100^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 93% +Ni(II) 5% + Cd(II) 2% (ABCR1)	0.423 ±0.018b*	$0.460 \pm 0.023*$	$0.521 \pm 0.019 **$				
SDS 94% +Ni(II) 4% + Cd(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.267 \pm 0.005 c^*$	$0.463 \pm 0.023 **$	$0.526 \pm 0.023^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 91% +Ni(II) 6% + Cd(II) 3% (ABCR3)	$0.184 \pm 0.012d*$	$0.326 \pm 0.017 **$	$0.357 \pm 0.103^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS + Co(II) + Pb(II)							
SDS 95.22% + Co(II)0.89% + Pb(II) 3.89%(EECR50)	$0.277 \pm 0.005a^*$	$1.362 \pm 0.117 **$	$1.873 \pm 0.879^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 94% + Co(II) 3% + Pb(II) 3% (ABCR1)	$0.334 \pm 0.016b \texttt{*}$	$0.829 \pm 0.112 **$	$1.056 \pm 0.045^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 95% + Co(II) 3% + Pb(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.197 \pm 0.017 c^{*}$	$0.859 \pm 0.120 **$	$1.052 \pm 0.455 ***$				
SDS 96% + Co(II) 2% + Pb(II) 2% (ABCR3)	$0.261 \pm 0.008a^*$	$1.093 \pm 0.134 **$	$1.333 \pm 0.138^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS + Co(II) + Cd(II)							
SDS 97.10% + Co(II) 0.91% + Cd(II).2%(EECR50)	$0.198 \pm 0.016a^*$	$0.428 \pm 0.027 **$	$0.480 \pm 0.530 ***$				
SDS 98% + Co(II) 1% + Cd(II) 1% (ABCR1)	$0.216 \pm 0.008a^{\textbf{*}}$	$0.676 \pm 0.049 **$	$0.801 \pm 0.001 {\color{red}{***}}$				
SDS 96% + Co(II) 2% + Cd(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.248 \pm 0.011b \texttt{*}$	$0.385 \pm 0.029 **$	$0.425 \pm 0.035^{\ast\ast\ast}$				
SDS 95% + Co(II) 3% + Cd(II) 2% (ABCR3)	$0.169 \pm 0.008 \texttt{c}^{*}$	$0.352 \pm 0.030 **$	$0.388 \pm 0.235 **$				

Within column, among the individual toxicants,  $EC_{50}$  values with different letters differed significantly from each other

 $\dagger$ Within columns, in each individual toxicant or toxicant mixture type, the experimental EC<sub>50</sub>, values with the same letters are not significantly different from each other (P < 0.05).

 $\ddagger$  Within rows, in each mixture ratio, comparing between the experimental EC<sub>50</sub>, CA-predicted EC<sub>50</sub> and IA-predicted EC<sub>50</sub>, values with the same number of asterisks are not significantly different from each other (P < 0.05).

 $^+$  Values are reported as Mean  $\pm \ 1SD$ 

mixture showed that ABCR2 mixture ratio was the least toxic (0.368 ± 0.008 mM) while ABCR1 mixture ratio was the most toxic (0.302 ± 0.016 mM). In addition, among the observed  $EC_{508}$ , the EECR50 and ABCR1 mixture ratios were statistically different from ABCR2 and ABCR3. In SDS + Cd(II) + Zn(II) mixtures, the observed  $EC_{50}$  values ranged from 0.242 ± 0.020 mM for ABCR1 to 0.713 ± 0.028 mM for EECR50. The observed  $EC_{508}$  showed that EECR50 and ABCR2 mixture ratios were statistically different from ABCR1 to 0.713 ± 0.028 mM for EECR50. The observed  $EC_{508}$  showed that EECR50 and ABCR2 mixture ratios were statistically different from ABCR1 and ABCR3 mixture ratios.

In SDS + Pb(II) + Ni(II) mixtures, for the observed  $EC_{508}$ , EECR50 and ABCR2 mixture ratios were statistically different from ABCR1 and ABCR3 mixture ratios. In SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) mixtures, the observed  $EC_{508}$  showed significant difference among mixture ratios. Similarly, the  $EC_{50}$  predicted by independent action model was statistically different from both the observed and concentration addition model-predicted  $EC_{508}$  in ABCR1 mixture ratio (P < 0.05). In SDS + Co(II) + Pb(II) mixtures, among the observed  $EC_{508}$ , ABCR1 and ABCR2 mixture ratios were significantly different from each other. In SDS + Co(II) + Cd(II) mixtures, the observed  $EC_{508}$  of ABCR2 and ABCR3 mixture ratios were statistically different from each other. In ABCR3

mixture ratio, the observed  $EC_{50}$  was significantly lower than those predicted from CA and IA models (P < 0.05). However, in most mixture ratios, the observed  $EC_{50S}$  as well as those predicted from CA and IA models were statistically different from one another (P < 0.05).

Toxic index, model deviation ratio and effect of ternary mixtures of metals and SDS on *A. seifertii* are shown in Table 3. The TI values ranged from  $0.139 \pm 0.003$  to  $0.919 \pm 0.019$ , while MDR values ranged from  $1.088 \pm 0.023$  to  $7.173 \pm$ 0.148 for CA and  $1.233 \pm 0.041$  to  $9.621 \pm 0.090$  for IA. At all the tested mixture ratios, the ternary mixtures were synergistic in their actions against the bacterium, except for ABCR1 mixture ratio of SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) mixture, whose effect was rather additive. The observed concentration-response relationships of the ternary mixtures and the predictions made from CA and IA models for *A. seifertii* are shown in Figures 2-7. In the SDS + Pb(II) + Zn(II) mixture, both models greatly underestimated the toxicities except for ABCR3, where they slightly underestimated the toxicity (Figure 2).

In SDS + Cd(II) + Zn(II) and SDS + Pb(II) + Ni(II) mixtures, both CA and IA models also underestimated the toxicities relative to the observed data as shown in Figures 3 and 4 respectively. In

Table 3: Toxic index, MDR and effect of ternary mixtures of metals and SDS on A. seifertii

	Toxic Index			
Metal-SDS Mixtures	(TI)	СА	IA	Effect
SDS +Pb (II)+Zn(II)				
SDS 94.87% +Pb(II) 3.88%+Zn(II) 1.25% (EECR 50)	$0.223\pm0.002$	$4.493\pm0.039$	$7.282\pm0.384$	Synergistic
SDS 95% +Pb(II) 4%+Zn(II)1% (ABCR1)	$0.196\pm0.001$	$5.090\pm0.035$	$8.267\pm0.395$	Synergistic
SDS 93% +Pb(II) 5%+Zn(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.303\pm0.008$	$3.304\pm0.087$	$5.558\pm0.136$	Synergistic
SDS 90% +Pb(II) 2%+Zn(II) 8% (ABCR3)	$0.514\pm0.002$	$1.946\pm0.006$	$2.491\pm0.553$	Synergistic
SDS +Cd (II)+Zn(II)				
SDS 98.50% +Cd(II) 0.20%+Zn(II)1.30% (EECR 50)	$0.499\pm0.007$	$2.286\pm0.025$	$3.278\pm0.104$	Synergistic
SDS 96% +Cd(II) 1%+Zn(II)3% (ABCR1)	$0.393\pm0.014$	$5.264\pm0.139$	$7.074\pm0.526$	Synergistic
SDS 98% +Cd(II) 1%+Zn(II) 1% (ABCR2)	$0.365\pm0.006$	$2.969\pm0.042$	$3.898\pm0.097$	Synergistic
SDS 95% +Cd(II) 2%+Zn(II) 3% (ABCR3)	$0.246\pm0.013$	$4.503\pm0.243$	$6.406\pm0.639$	Synergistic
SDS +Pb (II)+Ni(II)				
SDS 92.44% +Pb(II) 3.78%+Ni(II) 3.78% (EECR 50)	$0.300\pm0.003$	$3.329\pm0.033$	$4.696\pm0.122$	Synergistic
SDS 93% +Pb(II) 3%+Ni(II) 4% (ABCR1)	$0.234\pm0.001$	$4.277\pm0.019$	$5.724\pm0.168$	Synergistic
SDS 94% +Pb(II) 3%+Ni(II) 3% (ABCR2)	$0.139\pm0.003$	$7.173\pm0.148$	$9.621\pm0.090$	Synergistic
SDS 91% +Pb(II) 4%+Ni(II) 5% (ABCR3)	$0.245\pm0.004$	$4.084\pm0.060$	$5.818\pm0.152$	Synergistic
SDS +Ni (II)+Cd(II)				
SDS 94.21% +Ni(II) 3.86%+Cd(II) 1.93% (EECR 50)	$0.243\pm0.007$	$4.120\pm0.113$	$4.702\pm0.122$	Synergistic
SDS 93% +Ni(II) 5%+Cd(II) 2% (ABCR1)	$0.919 \pm 0.019 \\$	$1.088\pm0.023$	$1.233\pm0.041$	Additivity
SDS 94% +Ni(II) 4%+Cd(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.578\pm0.019$	$1.733\pm0.058$	$1.970\pm0.039$	Synergistic
SDS 91% +Ni(II) 6%+Cd(II) 3% (ABCR3)	$0.563\pm0.017$	$1.779 \pm 0.054$	$1.945\pm0.090$	Synergistic
SDS +Co (II)+Pb(II)				
SDS 95.22% +Co(II) 0.89%+Pb(II) 3.89% (EECR 50)	$0.204\pm0.014$	$4.914\pm0.332$	$6.761 \pm 0.079$	Synergistic
SDS 94% +Co(II) 3%+Pb(II) 3% (ABCR1)	$0.406\pm0.036$	$2.475\pm0.218$	$3.157\pm0.137$	Synergistic
SDS 95% +Co(II) 3%+Pb(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.231\pm0.013$	$4.346\pm0.235$	$5.340\pm0.084$	Synergistic
SDS 96% +Co(II) 2%+Pb(II) 2% (ABCR3)	$0.243\pm0.023$	$4.136\pm0.380$	$5.097\pm0.143$	Synergistic
SDS +Co (II)+Cd(II)				
SDS 97.10% +Co(II) 0.91%+Cd(II) 2% (EECR 50)	$0.463\pm0.014$	$2.162\pm0.066$	$2.430\pm0.210$	Synergistic
SDS 98% +Co(II) 1%+Cd(II) 1% (ABCR1)	$0.320\pm0.011$	$3.124\pm0.104$	$3.708 \pm 0.195$	Synergistic
SDS 96% +Co(II) 2%+Cd(II) 2% (ABCR2)	$0.647\pm0.020$	$1.547\pm0.048$	$1.715\pm0.086$	Synergistic
SDS 95% +Co(II) 3%+Cd(II) 2% (ABCR3)	$0.483\pm0.018$	$2.071\pm0.078$	$2.291\pm0.113$	Synergistic

SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) mixture, the models slightly underestimated the toxicities and were toxic even at low concentrations, except in ABCR1 mixture ratio, where both models almost correctly predicted the experimentally-observed data at low concentrations, and underestimated the mixture toxicity at high concentrations. Similarly, in both SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) and SDS +Co(II) + Cd(II) mixtures, CA and IA models predicted similar toxicities, as their dose-response curves were almost superimposed (Figures 5 and 7). In ABCR1 mixture ratio of SDS + Co(II) + Pb(II) and all SDS + Co(II) + Cd(II) mixtures, both models slightly predicted lower toxicities than the experimentally-observed data and were toxic even at low concentrations. In other SDS + Co(II) + Pb(II) mixture ratios, both CA and IA models however grossly underestimated the mixture toxicities (Figures 6).

#### DISCUSSION

Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was the least toxic to the sediment bacterium, among the toxicants evaluated in this study. This result is in agreement with the report that SDS was less toxic than a variety of metals and non surfactants compounds by previous authors (Whitton, 1967; Wangberg & Blanck, 1988). Sodium dodecyl sulfate has been reported to inhibit certain processes in different organisms at various concentrations. For example, inhibition in cell multiplication and rate of phosphate assimilation in pure cultures of Acinetobacter junii has been reported at  $EC_{505}$  of  $5.00 \pm 2.95$ x 10<sup>-6</sup> mol/l (0.005 mM) and  $3.33 \pm 0.96$  x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol/l (0.33 mM) respectively (Hrenovic & Ivankovic, 2007). In a similar report, growth and nitrogen fixing ability in cyanobacterium Gloeocapsa were inhibited by SDS at 50 ppm ( $\approx 0.173$  mM) (Cserhati et al., 2002). Furthermore, inhibion of overall up take of metals without altering cell membrane permeability in marine macroalga, Ulva lactuca by SDS was reported by Masakorala et al. (2008). However, SDS was not particularly toxic to Ulva lactuca at a concentration range of 0-10 mg/l ( $\approx 0.04$  mM) (Masakorala *et al.*, 2011) and towards algae, and invertebrates at environmentally realistic concentrations (Sandback et al., 2000). In the present study, SDS inhibited dehydrogenase activity in A. seifertii by 50% at  $2.810 \pm 0.140$ mM after 24 hours.

Due to their persistence and toxicity, environmental pollution by heavy metals, particularly in aquatic ecosystems,



Figure 1: Individual toxicants inhibition of dehydrogenase activity in A. seifertii. The solid and dash lines represents predicted toxicities respectively.







Figure 3: Observed (data points) and predicted (lines) inhibitions of *A. seifertii* dehydrogenase activity by ternary mixtures of SDS, cadmium and zinc ions. The data points represent observed concentration-response data. Dotted lines represent toxicities determined by nonlinear regression of observed data with logistic model (Eq. 2). Dashed and solid lines are toxicities predicted from the CA and IA models respectively.



Figure 4: Observed (data points) and predicted (lines) inhibitions of *A. seifertii* dehydrogenase activity by ternary mixtures of SDS, lead and nickel ions. Dotted lines represent toxicities obtained by nonlinear regression of observed data with logistic model (Eq. 2). Dashed and solid lines are toxicities predicted from the CA and IA models respectively.

has been a serious concern. Heavy metals such as nickel, cobalt and zinc serve as micro nutrients to bacteria, required at trace concentrations. However, at higher concentrations, nickel, cobalt, zinc and "bad ions" (with no nutritional values) such as cadmium and lead are toxic to microorganisms (Nies, 1992). In the present study, the order of increasing toxicity among the trace metals were Ni > Zn > Co. Nickel was toxic to the bacterium, with an  $EC_{50}$  of 0.649  $\pm$  0.053 mM. Possible mechanisms of nickel toxicity to microorganisms include: substitution of essential metal of metalloprotiens, binding to catalytic residues of non-metalloenzymes, binding outside the enzyme's catalytic site, resulting in allosteric inhibition and by indirectly causing oxidative stress (Macomber & Hausinger, 2011). Similarly, toxicity of cobalt to luminescent Vibrio fischeri has also been reported with a 15 min  $EC_{50}$  of  $45.9 \pm 4.59$ mg/l (0.35 mM) (Fulladosa et al., 2005). In this study, cobalt inhibited dehydrogenase activity in A, seifertii with a 24 hours  $EC_{so}$  of 0.011 ± 0.000 mM. Furthermore, cobalt was more toxic than nickel. Such greater inhibition by cobalt to cell growth than nickel has been reported elsewhere (Gikas, 2007).

Zinc as component of many microbial enzymes, is needed for their catalytic activities and structural stability (Choudhury & Srivastava, 2001). However, Zn(II) may become toxic to cells at high concentrations. For example, a 50% decrease in dehydrogenase activity by zinc was reported in sediment bacteria from New Calabar river at 0.166 and 0.873 mM respectively, for *Bacillus* and *Micrococcus* species (Nweke *et al.*, 2007a). Similarly, a 15 min  $EC_{50}$  of 0.86 ± 0.11 mgl<sup>-1</sup> ( $\approx$  0.003 mM) was reported for zinc against luminescent bacterium *Vibrio fischeri* by Fulladosa *et al.* (2005). Zinc inhibited dehydrogenase activity of the sediment bacterium *A, seifertii* in this study with 24 hours  $EC_{50}$  of 0.075 ± 0.005 mM. The variation in  $EC_{505}$  observed could be attributed to the different bacterial species adopted for the studies.

Cadmium and lead have no physiological functions in living organisms and can be toxic at low concentrations. For instance, 15 min  $IC_{505}$  of 0.537 mg/l ( $\approx 0.002$  mM) and 1.231 mg/l ( $\approx 0.004$  mM) were recorded for cadmium and lead respectively against *Phosphobacterium phosphoreum* T3S (Zeb *et al.*, 2016). In a similar study, Mansour *et al.* (2015)



Figure 5: Observed (data points) and predicted (lines) inhibitory effects of ternary mixtures of SDS, nickel and cadmium ions on *A. seifertii* dehydrogenase activity. Dotted lines represent toxicities obtained by nonlinear regression of observed data with logistic model (Eq. 2). Dashed and solid lines are toxicities predicted from the CA and IA models respectively.



Figure 6: Observed (data points) and predicted (lines) inhibitory effects of ternary mixtures of SDS, cobalt and lead ions on *A. seifertii* dehydrogenase activity. Dotted lines represent toxicities obtained by nonlinear regression of observed data with logistic model (Eq. 2). Dashed and solid lines are toxicities predicted from the CA and IA models respectively.

reported 5 and 15 minutes  $EC_{505}$  of 4.53 mg/l ( $\approx 0.018$  mM) and 4.47 mg/l ( $\approx 0.014$  mM), 6.60 mg/l ( $\approx 0.03$  mM) and 5.83 mg/l ( $\approx 0.018$  mM) for cadmium and lead respectively against inhibition of bioluminescence in *Vibrio fischeri*. In the present study however, cadmium and lead recorded 24 hours  $EC_{505}$  of  $0.011 \pm 0.000$  mM and  $0.222 \pm 0.005$  mM against *A. seifertii*.

Though the concentrations of SDS and heavy metals recently reported in Otamiri river water and sediment by Okechi & Chukwura, (2020) were lower than the  $EC_{508}$  of the toxicants employed in the present study, heavy metals are however known to be persistent and accumulative in the environment, and thus, could reach or even surpass these employed concentrations over time. Furthermore, progressive accumulation of heavy metals in Otamiri river sediment has been reported (Temitope *et al.*, 2016). In addition, investigations have shown that surfactants can change the toxicity of heavy metals to aquatic organisms (Swedmark & Granmo, 1981; Masakorala *et al.*, 2008). However, it is also possible that the bacterium may have

evolved some tolerance mechanisms to these toxicants judging from their reported age long accumulation in the river, and as such, the response to the individual toxicants and their ternary mixtures may be different from that of A. seifertii isolated from unpolluted waterbodies. In addition, though metals have been reported to accumulate in Otamiri River, reasonable comparison cannot be made on the toxicities of heavy metals and surfactants on A. seifertii from the river. Not much work has been done on the ecotoxicological implications of these pollutants on the microbiological population of Otamiri River. Nweke et al (2017) assessed inhibition of INT-dehydrogenase activity in microbial community of Otamiri river water by Cd, Ni, Zn and Co). Although normal strength nutrient broth was used in the study, the microbial community with  $EC_{50}$  of 0.265  $\pm$  0.015 mM Pb(II) was more sensitive to lead than A. seifertii in the present study.

There is scarcity of information on the toxic effects of ternary mixtures of SDS and heavy metals on bacteria. In the present study, SDS modulated the toxicity of the heavy



Figure 7: Observed (data points) and predicted (lines) inhibitory effects of ternary mixtures of SDS, cobalt and cadmium ions on *A. seifertii* dehydrogenase activity. Dotted lines represent toxicities obtained by nonlinear regression of observed data with logistic model (Eq. 2). Dashed and solid lines are toxicities predicted from the CA and IA models respectively.

metals and vice versa, thus giving  $EC_{508}$  generally lower than SDS and in few cases lead as individual toxicants. Li et al. (2018), similarly noted that the toxicities of all the mixtures of nanoTiO<sub>2</sub> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> with surfactant were lower than the single toxicity of Cd2+ to Escherichia coli. Although some researchers have claimed that anionic surfactants are healthy, adverse health effects have nevertheless been reported from exposure to multiple chemicals at low concentrations, which does not cause harm individually (Brain et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2013; Kortenkamp, 2014). Although it has been established that some anionic surfactants can enhance the toxicities of coexisting chemical species, such as metals, and anthracene (Swedmark & Granmo, 1981; Flores et al., 2010), this was not established in this study. Furthermore, though ternary mixtures of SDS+Pb(II)+Ni(II) and SDS+Ni(II)+Cd(II) were more toxic than their individual toxicities against the sediment bacterium, this increase seems to be more attributable to the effects of Pb and Cd ions than SDS, as such enhanced toxicities were not reflected in the binary mixture of SDS with nickel (data not shown).

The model deviation ratios (MDR) and the toxic index model (TI) used to analyse the ternary mixture toxicities indicated similar results, with regards to the toxicity of SDS and metal mixtures to A. seifertii. The TI values obtained for all the ternary mixtures were well below 1, except SDS93% + Ni(II)5% + Cd(II)2% mixture ratio that was almost 1, thus describing synergistic and additive interactions respectively. This ternary combination was especially important as an example of how the form of toxicological interaction in ternary mixtures would change in relation to their binaries (Boltes et al., 2012). The binary mixtures were synergistic and antagonistic in their interactions. Similarly, the MDR values for all the ternary mixtures of SDS and metal ions in this study also showed synergistic interactions, except the same mixture ratio that showed additivity. Some authors have reported both synergistic and antagonistic interactions in studies with ternary mixtures of different heavy metals to bacteria and liver cells (Xu *et al.*, 2011; Lin *et al.*, 2016; Nweke *et al.*, 2018). Similarly, depending on metals concentrations, antagonism, additivity and synergism were reported on the acute toxicity of the ternary mixtures of metals to *Daphnia magna* (Traudt *et al.*, 2017). Reproduction in *Ceriodaphnia dubia* has also been reported to be significantly affected by the ternary mixtures of Cu+Pb+Zn, with more than additive effect (Cooper *et al.*, 2009). It is important to note the variations in the mixtures components in these studies compared with the present study, as none had SDS as a component. It has been reported that the types of interactions exhibited by the components of mixtures largely depend on their relative proportions in the mixtures (Otitoloju, 2005).

Predictive models; CA and IA, have been used to predict the toxicity of chemical mixtures on the basis of concentrationresponse relationship of the mixture components. The CA model is based on the assumption that the mixture components act similarly while the IA model assumes that the mixture components act differently. In this study, CA and IA models either slightly or greatly underestimated the joint toxicity of the SDS and metals. Both models however made good predictions at low concentrations for ABCR1 mixture ratio of SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) mixture. Similar result was reported by Nweke et al. (2018). Furthermore, Regenmortel & De Schamphelaere, (2017) used both models to correctly predict Cu-Ni -Zn ternary mixtures on the growth of Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata in natural waters. It is important to note that there was no statistical difference between the observed  $EC_{so}$  and CA-predicted  $EC_{50}$ , in ABCR1 mixture ratio of SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) mixture, indicating additive effect of the mixture components. SDS and heavy metals may have similar modes of action against the bacterium, thus there was no substantial difference between predicted values of mixture toxicities on the bases of CA and IA-models in ABCR3 mixture ratio of SDS + Co(II) + CdII) mixture. Huang *et al.* (2011) reported similar insignificant differences in the toxicity of mixtures predicted from CA and IA models for phenol containing

compounds with related and different mechanisms of action. In addition, similar toxicities for the ternary mixtures of SDS + Ni(II) + Cd(II) and SDS + Co(II) + Cd(II) were also predicted from CA and IA models in this study. Studies have shown that the  $EC_{50S}$  predicted from both models can be similar under certain conditions (Boedeker *et al.*, 1993).

# CONCLUSION

The toxicities of SDS and some heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Co, Zn, Ni) as individuals and in ternary mixtures to *A. seifertii*, isolated from Otamiri river sediment were examined, using inhibition of dehydrogenase activity as end point. The results of this study showed that both heavy metals and SDS exhibited varying toxicity levels to the sediment bacterium, both as individual toxicants and as ternary mixtures. The mixture interaction was generally synergistic, indicating the possibility of adverse effects of the mixture on the bacterial population of the river sediment.

# REFERENCES

- ALTENBURGER, R., BAUKHAUS, T., BOEDEKER, W., FAUST, M., SCHOLZE, M. & GRIMME, L. H. 2000. Predictability of the toxicity of multiple chemical mixtures to Vibrio fischeri: mixtures composed of similarly acting chemicals. Environ Toxicol. Chem. 19(9): 2341-2347. https://doi.org/10.1002/ etc.5620190927
- BERENBAUM, M. 1985. The expected effect of a combination of agents: The general solution. J. Theo. Biol. 114: 413 431. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5193(85)80176-4
- BIANUUCCI, F & LEGNANI, P. 1974. Toxicity of Alhurnus alhunus var. alhorella of hexavalent chromium and anionic detergent. Igene Mod. (It.), 66: 531. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j. aquatox.2004.11.012.
- BOEDEKER, W., DRESCHER, K., ALTENBURGER, R., FAUST, M & GRIMME, L.H. 1993. Combined effects of toxicants: the need and soundness of assessment approaches in ecotoxicology. Sci. Total Environ. 134(2): 931-939. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0048-9697(05)80100-7
- BOILLOT, C. & PERRODIN, Y. 2008. Joint-action ecotoxicity of binary mixtures of glutaraldehyde and surfactants used in hospitals: use of the toxicity index model and isobologram representation. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety 71: 252 – 259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.08.010.
- BOLTES, K., ROSAL, R & GARCÍA-CALVO, E. 2012. Toxicity of mixtures of perfluorooctane sulphonic acid with chlorinated chemicals and lipid regulators. Chemo. 86: 24-29.
- BRIAN, J.V., HARRIS, C.A., SCHOLZE, M., KORTENKAMP, A., BOOY, P., LAMOREE, M., POJANA, G., JONKERS, N., MARCOMINI, A & SUMPTER, J.P. 2007. Evidence of estrogen mixture effects on the reproductive performance of fish. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41(1): 337-340. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0617439
- BURTON, G.A BAUDO, R., BELTRANI, M & ROWLAND, C. 2001. Assessing sediment contamination using six toxicity assays. J. Limnol., 60: 263-267.
- COOPER, N. L, BIDWELL, J. R & KUMAR, A. 2008. Toxicity of copper, lead, and zinc mixtures to Ceriodaphnia dubia and Daphnia carinata. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 72(5):1523-1528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2009.03.002 PMID:

19419764.

- CHOUDHURY R. & SRIVASTAVA S. 2001. Zinc resistance mechanisms in bacteria. Current Sci. 81(7): 768-775. http:// www.jstor.org/stable/24106396.
- CSERHATI, T., FORGACS, E & OROS, G. 2002. Biological activity and environmental impact of anionic surfactants. Environ. Inter. 28:337-48. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-011-0539-8.
- FAUST, M., ALTENBURGER, R., BACKHAUS, T., BLANCK, H., BOEDEKER, W., GRAMATICA, P., HAMMER, V., SCHOLZE, M., VIGHI, M. & GRIMME, L.H. 2003. Joint algal toxicity of 16 dissimilar acting chemicals is predictable by the concept of independent action. Aqua. Toxiol. 63, 43 – 63. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0166-445x(02)00133-9.
- FLORES, G,P., BADILLO, C.M., CORTAZAR, M.H., HIPOLITO, C.N., PEREZ, R.S & SANCHEZ, I.G. 2010. Toxic effects of linear alkyl benzene sulfonate, anthracene and their mixtures on growth of a microbial consortium isolated from polluted sediment. Inter. J. Environ. Poll. 26(1): 39-46.
- FULLADOSA, E., MURAT, J.C., MARTINEZ, M & VILLAESCUSA, I.2005. Patterns of metals and arsenic poisoningin Vibrio fischeri. Chemo. 60: 43-48. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.12.026.
- GIKAS, P. 2007. Kinetic responses of activated sludge to individual and joint nickel (Ni(II)) and cobalt (Co(II)): an isobolographic approach. J. Hazard. Mat. 143(1): 246-256. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.09.019
- HANSON, P.J., EVANS, D.W., COLBY, D.R & ZDANOWICZ, V.S. 1993. Assessment of elemental contamination in estuarine and coastal environments based on geochemical and statistical modelling of sediments. Mar. Environ. Res. 36: 237-256. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136 (93) 90091-D.
- HRENOVIC, J & IVANKOVIC, I. 2007. Toxicity of anionic and cationic surfactants to Acinetobacter junii in pure culture. Cent. Euro. J. Biol. 2(3): 405-414. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11535-007-0029-7.
- HUANG, W.Y., LIU, F., LIU, S.S., GE, H.L & CHEN, H.H. 2011.
  Predicting mixture toxicity of seven phenolic compounds with similar and dissilmilar action mechanisms to Vibrio qinghaiensis sp.nov. Q67. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 74(6): 1600-1606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2011.01.007.
- HUANG, W., CHEN, X., WANG, K., CHEN, J., ZHENG, B & JIANG, X. 2019. Comparison among the microbial communities in the lake, lake wetland, and estuary sediments of a plain river network. Microbiol. Open. 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mbo3.644
- INCE, N., DIRILGEN, N., APIKYAN, I. G., TEZCAN, G & USTIIN, B. 1999. Assessment of toxic interactions of heavy metals in binary mixtures: a statistical approach. Arch. Environ. Cont. Toxicol. 36: 365–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00006607.
- KARBE, 1975. Toxicity of heavy metals modified by environmental stress. International conference on heavy metals in the environment. Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Document C-14.
- KORTENKAMP, A. 2014. Low dose mixture effect of endocrine disrupters and their implications for regulatory thresholds in chemical risk assessment. Current Opin. Pharmacol. 19: 105-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2014.08.006.
- LEE, J.S., LEE, K.T & PARK, G.S 2005. Acute toxicity of heavy metals, tributyltin, ammonia and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to benthic amphipod Grandidierella japonica. Ocean Sci. J. 40(2): 61-66. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF03028586.
- LIN, X., GU, Y., ZHOU, Q., MAO, G & ZOU, B. 2016. Combined toxicity of heavy metal mixtures in liver cells. J. Appl. Toxicol. 36: 1163-1172. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3283.
- LI, M., PEI, J., TANG, X & GUO, X. 2018. Effects of surfactants

on the combined toxicity of tio<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and cadmium to Escherichia coli. J. Environ. Sci. 01444: 1-8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jes.2018.02.016.

- MACOMBER, L & HAUSINGER, R.P. 2011. Mechanisms of nickel toxicity in microorganisms.metallomics: Integrat. Biomet. Sci. 3(11):1153-62. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1mt00063b.
- MANSOUR, S.A., ABDEL-HAMID, A. A., IBRAHIM, A.W., MAHMOOD, N. H & MOSELHY, W. A 2015. Toxicity of some pesticides, heavy metals and their mixtures to Vibrio fischeri bacteria and Daphnia magnia: comparative study. J. Bio. Life Sci. 6(2):221-240.
- MASAKORALA, K., TURNER, A & BROWN, M. 2008. Influence of synthetic surfactants on the uptake of Pd, Cd and Pb by the marine macroalga, Ulva lactuca. Environ. Poll. 156: 897-904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.05.030
- MASAKORALA, K., TURNER, A & BROWN, M. 2011. Toxicity of synthetic surfactants to the marine macroalga, Ulva lactuca. Water Air Soil Poll. 218: 283-291. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1007/ s11270-010-0641-4.
- NIES, D.H. 1992. Resistance to cadmium, cobalt, zinc and nickel in microbes. Plasmid, 27(1): 17-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-619X(92)90003-S.
- NWEKE, C.O., ALISI, C.S., OKOLO, J.C & NWANYANWU, C.E. 2007a. Toxicity of zinc to heterotrophic bacteria from a tropical river sediment. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 5(1): 123-132.
- NWEKE, C. O., AHUMIBE, N. C. & ORJI, J. C. 2014. Toxicity of binary mixtures of formulated glyphosate and phenols to Rhizobium species dehydrogenase activity. J. Microbiol. Res. 4 (4): 161 – 169.
- NWEKE, C.O., IKE, C.C & IBEGBULEM, C.O. 2016. Toxicity of quaternary mixtures of phenolic compounds and formulated glyphosate to microbial community of river water. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Cont. 11(1): 63-71.
- NWEKE, C.O., MBACHU, L.A.C., OPURUM, C.C & MBAGWU, C.L. 2017. Toxicity of quaternary mixtures of metals to aquatic microbial community. Inter. Res. J. Environ. Sci. 6(3): 30-37.
- NWEKE, C.O., UMEH, S.I & OHALE, V.K. 2018. Toxicity of four metals and their mixtures to pseudomonas fluorescens: an assessment using fixed ratio design. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Cont. 13(1): 1-14. https://doi:10.5132/eec.2018.01.01
- OGAH, J.O., OGAH, R.O & UBAKA, K.G. 2018. Bacteriological assessment of water from Otamiri River in Owerri Imo State. Inter. J. Chem. and Chem. Proc. 4(2): 2545-5265.
- OKECHI, R. N & CHUKWRA, E.I 2020 Physicochemical and bacteriological qualities of Otamiri River water and sediment in Southeastern Nigeria. Front Environ. Microbiol. 6 (2): 18-26. https//doi: 10.11648/j.fem.20200602.12.
- OKECHI, R. N., CHUKWURA, E.I & NWEKE, C.O. 2020. Predicting the toxicities of ternary mixtures of two metals and sodium dodecyl sulfate to Serratia marcescens (SerEW01) from Otamiri River Water. J. Adv. Microbiol. 20(9): 73-86. https:// doi.org/10.9734/JAMB/2020/v20i930282.
- OKORO, B.C., UZOUKWU, R.A & ADEME, C.K 2016. Investigation of surface water quality in Owerri municipal, Imo State, Nigeria for human consumption. ARPN J. Eng. and Appl. Sci. 11(13): 8100-8106.
- OTITOLOJU, A. A. 2005. Crude oil plus dispersant: Always a boon or bane? Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 60:198-202. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2003.12.021

- PRICE, B., BORGERT, C.J., WELLS, C.S & SIMON, G.S. 2002. Assessing toxicity of mixtures: the search for economical study designs. Human and Ecol. Risk Assess. 8(2): 305-326.
- REGENMORTEL, T.V & DE SCHAMPHELAERE, K.A.C. 2017. Mixtures of Cu, Ni, and Zn Act mostly non interactively on Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata Growth in natural waters. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 37(2). 587-598. https://doi. org/10.1002/etc.3999.
- SANDBACKA, M., CHRISTIANSON, I. & ISOMAA, B. 2000. The acute toxicity of surfactants on fish cells, Daphnia magna and fish: A comparative study. Toxicol. In Vitro. 14:61-68. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0887-2333(99)00083-1
- SHENG, P., YU, Y., ZHANG, G., HUANG, J., HE, L & DING, J. 2016. Bacterial diversity and distribution in seven different estuarine sediments of Poyang Lake, China. Environ. Earth Sci. 75(479) https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-016-5346-6
- SMITH, K.E.C., SCHMIDT, S.N., DOM, N., BLUST, R., HOLMSTRUP, M & MEYER, P. 2013. Baseline toxic mixtures of non-toxic metals-"solubility addition" increases exposure for solid hydrophobic chemicals. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47(4): 2026-2033. https://doi.org/10.1021/es3040472
- SWEDMARK, M., GRANMO, A & BYBRICK, E. 1978. Effects of surfactants on the toxicity of heavy metals to marine animals. research report to the national Swedish environment protection board (Memeoin Swedish), 1-22.
- SWEDMARK, M & GRANMO, A. 1981. Effects of mixtures of heavy metals and a surfactant on the development of cod (Gadus morhual L). Rapports et Procès-Verbaux Desreunions-International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, 178: 95-103.
- TEMITOPE, A.E., EBENIRO, L.A., OYEDIRAN, A.G & C-OLUWATOSIN, T.J. 2016. An assessment of some heavy metals in sediment of Otamiri River, Imo State, South-Eastern Nigeria. Open Access Lib. J. 3:e2462.
- TRAUDT, E.M., RANVILLE, J.F & MEYER, J.S. 2007. Acute toxicity of ternary Cd–Cu–Ni and Cd–Ni–Zn mixtures to Daphnia magna: dominant metal pairs change along a concentration gradient. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51 (8), 4471-4481. https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06169
- WANGBERG, S & BLANCK, H. 1988. Multivariate pattern of algal sensitivity to chemicals in relation to phylogeny. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 16: 164-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-6513(88)90018-8.
- WHITTON, B. 1967. Studies on the growth of riverain cladophora in culture. Arch. Microbiol. 58: 21-29. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00691164.
- XU, X., LI, Y., WANG, Y & WANG, Y. 2011. Assessment of toxic interactions of heavy metals in multi-component mixtures using sea urchin embryo-larval bioassay. Toxicol. In Vitro 25: 294–300.
- ZEB, B., PING, Z., MAHMOOD, Q., LIN, Q., PERVEZ, A., IRSHAD, M., BILAL, M & BHATTI, Z.A. 2017. Assessment of combined toxicity of heavy metals from industrial wastewaters on Photobacterium phosphoreum T3S. Appl. Water Sci. 7:2034-2050. https://doi.org/10.1007//s13201-016-0385-4.
- YOO, J.W., CHO, H/, LEE, K.W., WON, E.J & LEE, Y.M. 2020. Combined effects of heavy metals (Cd, As, and Pb): Comparative study using conceptual models and the antioxidant responses in the brackish water flea. Comp Biochem Physiol Part C: Toxicol & Pharm. 239:108863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j cbpc.2020.108863.